Correlation between Hormonal Statuses and Metabolic Syndrome in Postmenopausal Women

Saeideh Ziaei; M.D., Hajar Mohseni; M.Sc.

Faculty of Medical Science, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

Received February 2013; Revised and accepted March 2013

Abstract

Objective: To compare the hormonal status in postmenopausal women with and without metabolic syndrome.

Materials and methods: In this cross sectional study 110 postmenopausal women were enrolled. Participants completed a questionnaire and underwent a medical exam and serum evaluation for serum lipids including cholesterol (Chol), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL), triglyceride (TG), fasting blood sugar (FBS), sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG), estradiol and testosterone. Metabolic syndrome was defined according to the definition of the National Cholesterol Education Program-Adult Treatment Panel III. In this study P value less than 0.05 was accepted as significant.

Results: There were significant differences between the two groups of participants with and without metabolic syndrome in age, years after menopause, BMI, weight, SHBG and testosterone (p < 0.01). **Conclusion:** SHBG and testosterone are the most significant correlated factors to metabolic syndrome in postmenopausal women.

Keywords: hormonal status, metabolic syndrome, postmenopausal women

Introduction

The Metabolic syndrome (Mets) identifies a cluster of metabolic disorders that place affected individuals at increased risk for developing cardiovascular disease, as well as increased mortality from all causes (1-4). The third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholestrol in Adults (ATP III) provides a clinically useful working definition of the metabolic syndrome that includes the presence of at least 3 of the following characteristics: abdominal obesity, increased triglycerides, reduced levels of high–density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, high

Correspondence:

blood pressure, and increased fasting glucose (5).

The relationships of both androgens and estrogens with individual characteristics of the Mets such as hypertention, insulin resistance and dyslipidemia have been reported in pre and post-menopausal women; however few studies (6- 12) have evaluated the relationship between endogenous sex hormone levels and Mets. Prevalence of Mets increases with age especially while transition occurs from pre to post menopausal state (13- 17). The mechanism through which menopause exerts its effect on the Mets is still unknown.

The present study has therefore been undertaken to examine the relationship between hormonal status (testosterone, estrogen and sex hormone binding globulin serum levels) in postmenopausal women with Mets.

Dr. Saiedeh Ziaei, P. O. Box: 14115-111, Tarbiat Modares University, Jalal Al Ahmad Highway, Tehran, Iran. Email: ziaei_sa@modares.ac.ir

Materials and methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted on the basis of consecutive recruitment, 110 non-surgical postmenopausal women (more than year since the last menstrual period, plasma 17 β -estradiol level < 35 pg/m) aged 45-75 years were enrolled to the study. The initial screening included medical history, physical and Each gynaecological examinations. participant completed a questionnaire about her characteristics including her lifestyle, occupational sector, smoking history, age at menopause and previous diagnosis for diseases such as diabetes, vascular diseases and so on. Participants, who were currently using antihypertensive medications, were considered to have high blood pressure. Similarly, participants who were currently taking anti- diabetic medications were counted as having diabetes. Participants underwent a medical exam, including measurements of Body Mass Index (BMI), weight and WC(waist circumference). BMI was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by squared body height (m^2) .

The serum lipids including cholesterol (CHOL), high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL), triglyceride (TG) and fasting blood sugar (FBS) were determined. Blood samples were taken 12 hours after an overnight fast. Laboratory determination was carried out on the day of blood sampling. CHOL and TG were determined by enzymatic methods (CHOL -PAP and TGO-PAP methods; Thechnicon Instr, NY, USA). The HDL fraction was separated by the Mg⁺² phosphotungtic acid precipitation technique followed by enzymatic determination of cholesterol. Glucose was measured using on enzymatic colorimetric method (glucose oxidas) by commercial kit (Pars Azmoon Inc Tehran, Iran).

For the evaluation of the reasons for Mets risk changes, blood chemistry tests were performed for sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG), estradiol and testosterone. The measurement of SHBG, estradiol and testosterone were carried through ELISA (IBL, DRG, DRG Kits respectively). Samples were analyzed in triplicate.

Mets was defined according to the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program expert panel on the detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (NCEP ATP III), as the presence of 3 or more of the following risk determinations: (1) increased waist circumference (WC>88 cm for women), (2) elevated triglycerides (\geq 150 mg/dl), (3) low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (<50 mg/dl), (4) hypertension (\geq 130 / \geq 85 mmHg), and (5) impaired fasting blood glucose (\geq 110 mg/dl).

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Tarbiat Modares University. An institutionally approved informed consent was obtained for all subjects.

Statistical analysis

Inter group comparisons were made using student ttest. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated between variables using a two-tailed significance test. A 95% confidence interval (CI) was used to describe the strength of association. The value of p<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in our participants was 39.09%. The age, years after menopause, weight, BMI, SHBG, testosterone and estradiol were compared between the participants with and without Mets (table 1) .There were significant differences between the two groups of participants with and without Mets in age, years after menopause, BMI, weight, SHBG and testosterone. For better evaluation of correlation between Mets and mentioned factors. Pearson correlation were calculated and revealed significant correlations between testosterone and SHBG with some risk determinations of Mets (p<0.05) (table2).

Parameters	Metabolic Syndrome present (n= 60)	No Metabolic Syndrome (n= 80)	Р
Age (years)	57.97±6.56	55.63±6.18	0.035
Years after menopause	7.84 ±2.20	6.61 ±2.53	< 0.05
BMI	27.67±2.03	25.86±2.63	< 0.001
Weight(kg)	68.42±7.40	63.42±7.18	< 0.001
SHBG(nmol/l)	41.63±19.22	53.59±28.74	0.007
Testosterone(ng/ml)	0.58±1.22	0.36±0.30	0.001
Estradiol(pg/dl)	20.73±16.24	21.27±15.31	0.1

* Data are given as mean \pm SD

Student t- Test ,p value <0.05 was considered significant

Testestrone		SHBG	
r	Р	r	Р
0.03	0.76	-0.097	0.31
0.29	0.002	-0.164	0.08
0.28	0.003	-0.080	0.40
0.31	0.001	-0.199	0.037
0.07	0.46	0.018	0.854
	r 0.03 0.29 0.28 0.31	r P 0.03 0.76 0.29 0.002 0.28 0.003 0.31 0.001	r P r 0.03 0.76 -0.097 0.29 0.002 -0.164 0.28 0.003 -0.080 0.31 0.001 -0.199

Table 2: Correlation between testosterone, SHBG and Metabolic syndrome markers

WC: waist circumference, r:pearson correlation, p value <0.05 was considered significant

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study of postmenopausal women mean of age, BMI, weight and the mean levels of testosterone were higher and SHBG was lower among women with Mets. The correlation between SHBG and testosterone among women were particularly strong.

Dramatic alterations in the hormonal milieu and body morphology during menopausal period may have detrimental effects on the body and It may promotes increases in cardiovascular risk factors associated with the Mets in later life.

According to the results of the present study, menopause predisposed individuals to Mets. This finding supports the effect of menopause on Mets independently of aging. These findings are in agreement with those wherein investigators reported that natural menopause is associated with an acceleration of risk of Mets (13-17).

In the present study, women with Mets had higher mean levels of testosterone and lower SHBG levels. In some studies the association between Mets and lower SHBG has been suggested in postmenopausal women (6-12). The increased prevalence of Mets after menopause may be due to a direct result of ovarian failure or an indirect result of the metabolic consequences of central fat distribution due to estrogen deficiency. There is also a higher androgen to oestrogen ratio in postmenopausal women than premenopausal ones, which may influence the tendency to develop Mets (18). SHBG is a 42-kd circulating glycoprotein involved in the transport of sex steroids, its concentration being a major determinant of their distribution between the proteinbound and free states. SHBG levels have been reported to be significant predictors of diabetes development and cardiovascular disease events in some, but not all prospective studies. Several reports have demonstrated significant associations between SHBG levels and variables of Mets. Our results are concordant with these findings. However, whether

SHBG is a causal agent of the metabolic syndrome or only represents a marker for primary endocrine abnormalities leading to these metabolic abnormalities remain unclear until now. Although the cross sectional nature of the present study prevents from concluding on cause and effect relationship, available data suggest that SHBG levels are modulated in response to metabolic signals, rather than the opposite (11).

In conclusion, the results of this study confirm that age, SHBG and weight are critical correlates of metabolic syndrome in postmenopausal women. However, the study does have some limitations including the use of cross-sectional study. Longitudinal study is necessary and recommended to compare the parameters before and after menopausal state to confirm the conclusions.

Acknowledgments

This study was done with kind cooperation of participating patients. It was funded by Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran. There is no conflict of interest among the authors.

References

- 1. Cameron A. The metabolic syndrome: Validity and utility of clinical definitions for cardiovascular disease and diabetes risk prediction. Maturitas 2010; 65: 117-21.
- Ding QF, Hayashi T, Zhang XJ, Funami J, Ge L, Li J, Huang XL,, et al. Risks of CHD identified by different criteria of metabolic syndrome and related changes of adipocytokines in elderly postmenopusal women. Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications 2007;21: 315-19.
- Martínez MA, Puig JG, Mora M, Aragón R, O'Dogherty P, Antón JL,, et al. Metabolic syndrome: prevalence, associated factors, and C- reactive protein. The MADRIC (MADrid RIesgo Cardiovascular) Study. Metabolism clinical and Exprimental 2008;57: 1232-40.
- 4. Gaspard U. Hyperinsulinaemia, a key factor of The

metabolic syndrome in postmenopausal women. Maturitas 2009; 62:362-5.

- Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program(NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection , Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults(Adult Treatment Panel III). JAMA 2003;285:2486-97.
- Kalish GM, Barrett-Connor E, Laughlin GA, Gulanski BI; Postmenopausal Estrogen/Progestin Intervention Trial..Association of endogenous sex hormones and insulin resistance among postmenopausal women :Resultes from the Postmenopausal Estrogen/Intervention trial.J Clin Edocrinol Metab 2003;88:1646-52.
- Oh JY, Barrett-Connor E, Wedick NM, Wingard DL; Rancho Bernardo Study..Endogenous sex hormones and the development of type 2 diabetes in older men and women:the Rancho Bernardo study.Diabetes Care 2002;25:55-60.
- 8. Sutton-Tyrrell K, Wildman RP, Matthews KA, Chae C, Lasley BL, Brockwell S, et al. Sex-hormone binding globulin and the free androgen index are related to cardiovascular risk factors in multiethnic premenopausal and perimenopausal women enrolled in Study of Women the Across the Nation (SWAN).Circulation 2005;111:1242-9.
- Korhonen S, Hippeläinen M, Vanhala M, Heinonen S, Niskanen L..The androgenic sex hormone profile is an essential feature of metabolic syndrome in premenopausal women:a controlled community-based study.Fertil Steril 2003;79:1327-34.
- 10. Golden SH, Ding J, Szklo M, Schmidt MI, Duncan BB, Dobs A. Glucose and insulin components of the

metabolic syndrome are associated with hyperandrogenism in ostmenopausal women: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. .Am J Epidemiol 2004;160:540-8.

- 11. Hajamor S, Després JP, Couillard C, Lemieux S, Tremblay A, Prud'homme D,,et al.Relationship between sex hormone-binding globulin levels and features of the metabolic syndrome.Metab 2003;52:724-30.
- 12. Rexrode KM, Manson JE, Lee IM, Ridker PM, Sluss PM, Cook NR, et al.Sex hormone levels and risk of cardiovascular events in postmenopausal women.Circulation 2003;108:1688-93.
- Tee de HJ, Lombard C, Deeks A A. Obesity, metabolic complications and the menopause: an opportunity for prevention. Climacteric 2010;13: 203-9.
- Ebrahimpour P, Fakhrzadeh H, Heshmat R, Ghodsi M, Bandrian F, Larijani B. Metabolic syndrome and menopause: A population- based study. Diab Met Syndr: Clin Res Rev 2010;4:5-9.
- Eshtiaghi R, Esteghamati A, Nakhjavani M. Menopause is an independent predictor of metabolic syndrome in Iranian women. Maturitas 2010;65:262-6.
- 16. Ainy E, Mirmiran P, Zahedi Asl S, Azizi F. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome during menopausal transition Tehranian women: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study (TLGS). Maturitas 2007; 58: 150-5.
- 17. Wu SI, Chou P, Tsai ST. The impact of years since menopause on the development of impaired glucose tolerance. J of Clin Epidemiol 2001; 54:117-20.
- 18. Weinberg ME, Manson JE, Buring JE, Cook NR, Seely EW, Ridker PM,et al.Low sex hormone-binding globulin is associated with the metabolic syndrome in postmenopausal women.Metab Clin and Exper 2006;55:1473-80.